

IN THE MATTER OF:)	
)	
CONSOLIDATED INDUSTRIES CORP.)	CASE NO. 98-40533
)	
Debtor)	

The court is not inclined to extend the discovery deadline indefinitely while it waits for another court to rule upon a motion which has not been and may never be filed. Furthermore, Enodis' motion is noticeably silent concerning the date of the deposition, when it was scheduled, when it was to take place and when the difficulties arose. Such information is critical. For example, if the dispute was a longstanding one which Enodis has only now chosen to address, there would be little basis for the requested extension. If, however, the need for this deposition and the problems

concerning it are only recent developments, things might be viewed differently. As it is, however, Enodis has failed to give the court what it needs in order to properly evaluate its motion for an enlargement of time and the motion is, therefore, DENIED.

SO ORDERED.

/s/ Robert E. Grant
Judge, United States Bankruptcy Court